# EXHIBIT 9

Filed Under Seal

Page 1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
00
BLAKE LIVELY,
Plaintiff,
vs. CASE NO. 24-CV-10049-LJL (LEAD CASE)
25-CV-449 (LJL) (MEMBER CASE)
WAYFARER STUDIOS LLC, ET AL.,
Defendants.
JENNIFER ABEL,
Third-party Plaintiff,
vs.
JONESWORKS, LLC,
Third-party Defendant.
WAYFARER STUDIOS LLC, et al.,
Consolidated Plaintiffs,
vs.
BLAKE LIVELY, et al.,
Consolidated Defendants.
**CONFIDENTIAL**
VIDEO-RECORDED DEPOSITION OF JAMEY HEATH
Los Angeles, California
Thursday, October 9, 2025
Stenographically Reported by: Ashley Soevyn,
CALIFORNIA CSR No. 12019

	Page 27
1	A I am.
2	Q Did you discuss with them, yes or no,
3	what you were going to testify about with respect to
4	that answer this morning?
5	MS. SHAPIRO: Same objection and same
6	instruction.
7	BY MS. SHAH:
8	Q Are you going to follow that instruction?
9	A I think it's best.
10	Q Okay. I don't have the words right in
11	front of me, but you did give me the correction that
12	you wanted to correct at the top of the testimony
13	today. Would you just explain that to me again?
14	What it is about yesterday's testimony that you
15	wanted to correct in today's testimony.
16	A I think simply, as I was testifying as it
17	pertains to 17-point list and my state of mind and
18	how I viewed it, in reflecting, while, of course, I
19	agreed that all of these things were reasonable
20	things that anyone asked for, I think I also
21	messaged that I may not have considered that some of
22	these things had some a nod to how what may
23	have been brought up in the past. And that's what I
24	wanted to.
25	Q I see. So am I understanding correctly

Page 28 1 that what you're essentially saying is, you think 2 during the deposition yesterday, it may have 3 appeared from your testimony that you were not leaving room for the possibility that some of the 4 5 things on the 17-point list related in some way to past incidents, and -- and that's the aspect of it 6 7 that you want to expand on this morning? 8 MS. SHAPIRO: Objection. Go ahead. 9 10 THE WITNESS: Not necessarily that it 11 related or not, but that I -- that I had considered 12 that could some of these things have some sort of 13 nod -- for lack of a better term -- to what we had 14 discussed in the past. 15 BY MS. SHAH: 16 What you and Ms. Lively and others had 17 discussed in the past? 18 What -- yeah, some of the concerns that 19 she may have spoken about. 20 And when you say the word "nod," Q Okay. 21 like it may have some nod to those things, do you 22 mean reference, or relatedness, or can you give me 23 another verb? 24 That it could have insinuated that 25 possibly, is this related to anything that's come up

	Page 29
1	before? While I didn't necessarily land on that,
2	but that it was a consideration.
3	Q It's something that went through your
4	mind at the time?
5	A Sure.
6	Q Okay. Why was it important to you to
7	correct that aspect of your testimony this morning?
8	MS. SHAPIRO: Objection.
9	THE WITNESS: I want to really do my best
10	to reflect and to be truthful. And if that was
11	sitting in me, that did I convey that correctly?
12	And so that was that was the purpose.
13	BY MS. SHAH:
14	Q Is there anything else about your
15	testimony yesterday that you want to take the
16	opportunity now to correct?
17	A No.
18	Q Okay. You were in the room, the breakout
19	room with your lawyers last night after the
20	deposition with some of the other defendants here,
21	and I believe your wife and Mr. Baldoni's wife for
22	quite a long time last night. During that time, did
23	you discuss the substance of your deposition
24	testimony yesterday in any respect?
25	A I don't believe so.